OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 29th MARCH 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: DOSIO

JUDGE'S SECRETARY: UReddy@judiciary.org.za

CONTACT NUMBERS: 0710260162

CHAMBER: 907

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

NB: 1.) KINDLY FORWARD ALL DOCUMENTS (COURT ORDERS, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS AND PRACTICE NOTES ETC.) TO

UReddy@judiciary.org.za

2.) PARTIES WHO WISH TO REMOVE THEIR MATTER/S ARE REQUESTED TO FORWARD A COURT ORDER REMOVING THEIR MATTER TO UReddy@judiciary.org.za

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app
Click here to join the meeting
Learn More | Meeting options

1.	BURROWS N M C VS BURROWS: G	2022/819	
2.	NDYAMARA AW N.O. & 4 OTHERS VS OLOENVIRON (PTY) LTD.	2021/41597	
3.	THERON, MA VS THERON, J	2022/4658	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

4.	LAM, SZE LOK VS TSAI, KAI-FON	2022/5110	
5.	ACCUMATION PROJECTS SA (PTY) LTD VS VALLEY SARL & S IRUNG	2021/18045	
6.	DEPARTMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, GAUTENG PROVINCE VS OCCUPIERS OF ERF 1744 HEIDELBERG	2020/42844	
7.	MPUPUNI, THOKOZILE RITA VS MPUPUNI, SIMBARASHE	2021/12464	
8.	RICHARD VICTOR COOPER & 2 OTHERS VS DEREK WARREN DEVELOPMENTS (PTY) LTD & 5 OTHERS	2022/7897	
9.	SIRIUS FINANCIAL SERVICES JHB (PTY) LTD VS ELIZABETH MUHAMED AND 2 OTHERS	2020/24517	
10	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MOKADI; M.D.	2021/27942	
11.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. NGCOBO; B.J.	2021/27939	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

12.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. PIETERSE; D.R.	2021/ 41847	
13.	DEWALD CORNE MOSTERT VS SANETTE MOSTERT	2021/58495	
14.	MD SALIM AKAND VS LOUWELDA JACOBS	2022/4181	
15.	ZEELIE SHARNE VS ZEELIE JACQUES	2022/32593	
16.	NEDBANK LIMITED AND MTHEMBO: MDUDUZI THEMBA AND MTHEMBO: DUMAZILE PENLIOR	2017/21992	
17.	MADELEIN HEUNIS & BEYERS MATIAM HEUNIS	2020/24840	
18.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY RF PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS FORD: TREVOR	2021/37040	
19.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY RF PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS MNCUBE: ROBERT SIYABONGA	2021/52633	
20.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY RF PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS NGWENYA: KARABO MICHIEL	2021/47951	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

21.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS MAKHUBU: ANNA	2021/53947	
22.	NDYAMARA AN N.O. AND 4 OTHERS VS FREEHAND CONSULTING CC	2021/41596	
23.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED V NGWANZA M & KL	2021/43011	
24.	ABSA GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF)(PTY) LTF VS MOHOLI, WONDERFUL & NOMBULELO	2021/39638	
25.	DE BEER: ELLEN (BORN CLAASENS) VS DE BEER: DAWID RUDOLPH VICTOR	2021/13353	
26.	ICTA AFRICA (PTY) LTD VS MARAIS ETTIENE	2022/2135	
27.	SA TAXI IMPACT FUND (RF) (PTY) LTD VS SHABALALA, MANDLENKOSI RAYMOND	2021/37107	
28.	ENFORCEMENT OF FILING OF HEADSTRANSACTION CAPITAL BUSINESS SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD VS MT NKABZ HOLDINGS AND INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD,	2020/19360	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

	MALEBOGO ONALENNA SEDIKO NKABITI, TLADI ZACHARIA NKABITI		
29.	MOTHOKWA DAMARES SEETI VS VICTOR KEALEBOGA SEETI	2022/6673	
30.	BEETON R VS BEETON GL	2022/4518	
31.	REDEFINE PROPERTIES LIMITED VS BLL CORPORATION LIMITED T/A AUTO-MATE SERVICE CENTRES & AMELIA PEARL SKIPPERS & MARK ANTHONY SKIPPERS	2021/7023	
32.	SELEHA S VS SELEHA T. J	2020/17263	
33.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFFRICA LTD VS GUMEDE & MTHANTI	2019/37737	
34.	DE DOMINICIS K VS DE DOMINICIS G	2021/37253	
35.	MOLOKWANE, MAMASIMO DEBORA VS MOLOKWANE, SEFOROE MOSES	2022/4264	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

36.	NDOU, FULUFHELO LYDIA VS NDOU, NTSUMBEDZENI ISRAEL	2021/57570	
37.	NTLAILANE, ELAINE SELEBALENG VS NTLAILANE, PULE LUCAS	2022/237	
38.	OOSTHUIZEN A VS OOSTHUIZEN J	2014/18215	
39.	KHOMOTSO SABRINAH APHANE VS EMILY MAHLASELA	2018/38263	
40.	AFHCO (PTY) LTD VS JONAS, VILAKAZI MPEYAKE AND ANOTHER	2021/56326	
41.	NAUSHEENA NASEER	2021/51868	
42	GOSCOR FINANCE (PTY) LTD VS SINETJHUDU PROJECTS (PTY) LTD AND R SKOSANA	2021/35251	
43.	MEDIA SHOP (PTY) LTD VS ZASCOTIME (PTY) LTD	2020/38499	
44.	GERALD ANTHONY ROBINSON & QI LOGISTICS (PTY) LTD VS LIANIE BUITENDAG	2021/36457	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

45.	SHIVEN SINGH VS SHANDINI DEVI MOODLEY	2019/23435	
46.	ABSA BANK LIMITED & ANOTHER VS MIRHA, BUSISIWE ALPHINA	2021/15509	
47.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS MOSIA, MAKOENA SARAH	2021/20846	
48.	ABSA BANK LIMITED & ANOTHER VS MNGOMEZULU, RACHEL MAKHOSAZANA	2021/26605	
49.	ABSA BANK LIMITED & ANOTHER VS MULLER, HUGH	2021/20160	
50.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS MORE, FREDAH MILLICENT	2018/28301	
51.	SHAYNMAR CC	2022/3896	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.