OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 28 JULY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: PULLINGER AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: Rtsatseng@judiciary.org.za**

PULLINGER AJ'S DIRECTIVES FOR UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT

1. All applications will be heard in open court unless otherwise directed.

2. Counsel are required to hand up draft orders, in proper form, and in duplicate when moving their application/s.

3. Draft orders should not include an order for "further and alternative relief".

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

4. Court will commence promptly at 10h00 with postponements removals and settlements being called first.

4.1. In any matter where there is to be an argument on postponement or costs this is to be mentioned at this time and these matters will stand to the end of the roll.

4.2. Matters will then be called in order of seniority.

5. Where counsel appears in an unopposed motion court, before a more senior judge, counsel is required to advise the judge's secretary by email no later than 9:00 am on the day of the matter.

6. Counsel are reminded that, although matters are being heard in open court, COVID remains a health concern and that they should exercise the appropriate level of discretion and care during their court attendances.

7. Accordingly, it will not be necessary for counsel to attend at the Judge's chambers to introduce themselves.

1.	SAYIJENI COMMUNICATIONS (PTY) LTD vs DYNAMIC TELECOMMICATIONS SERVICES (PTY) LTD	2022/5480	info@avab.co.za	O
2.	VUSELELA INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD vs ASAMOAH DAVID AND ANOTHER	2022/14705	megank@vmw-inc.co.za	O
3.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF) (PTY) LTD vs MADUMO, T	2019/44442	kramolefe@gmail.com	D

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

4.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MUTOMBO: MARTIN BYART	2021/57298	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	F
5.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MTSHALI: THANDEKA KHANYISILE & 1 OTHER	2021/30132	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	O
6.	FIRSTRAND MORTGAGE COMPANY (RF) PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS MASOKA :TENDANE WELLBELOVED & 1 OTHER	2021/48640	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	O
7.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS NTANDA	2019/26030	mdalton@bbmlaw.co.za	O
8.	ABSA BANK V KEMP, RYAN ANDERSON	2017/38326	wna@wnlaw.co.za	AM
9.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS MZUVUMILE MAKAKA	2021/57539	tmbata@straussdaly.co.za	O
10.	JAN VAN DEN BOS N.O. IN RE: RED OAK PROPERTY CC VS PRESIDENT TOWERS BODY CORPORATE	2022-623	hein@shplaw.co.za	O
11.	CHANGING TIDES 17 (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED N.O. VS ELS, WILLEM & ELS, ILSE	2020/7309	carmen@moodierobertson.co.za	D
12.	CHANGING TIDES 17 (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED N.O. VS VULANGILE, MZOLISI AND VULANGILE, KUTHALA	2019/19288	veronique@moodierobertson.co.za	D
13.	JO JO TANKS (PTY) LTD VS MBCC CUSTOM TRAILERS CC	2021/34754	francois@boelavdm.co.za	O
14.	ABSA BANK VS SILVER J	2012/14608	cchristians@straussdaly.co.za	O
15.	ABSA BANK VS C S VILAKAZI	2019/12178	cmichael@straussdaly.co.za	O
16.	ABSA BANK VS PADAYACHEE	2021/50808	cchristians@straussdaly.co.za	D
17.	ABSA BANK VS SHIVAMBU B & RAMOVHA R	2010/41691	cchristians@straussdaly.co.za	O
18.	SIBONGILE MARGARETTE NGUBANE VS SERENGETI ESTATES PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION NPC & 3 OTHERS	2021/51641	coenie@cf-law.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

19.	NEDBANK LIMITED V DONALD MC DONALD	2021/44384	mudalo@kw.co.za	O
20.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MAMTAZ KHAN AND SHAJAR KHAN	2022/5930	larisa@shplaw.co.za	O
21.	(EX-PARTE APPLICATION) KOTZE O. N.O.	2022/20564	maselaelo@smitsew.co.za	EP
22.	NATIONAL SAVINGS & INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD V IZU AMAKUO & 1 OTHER	2021/54549	zondiwe@mabuzas.co.za	O
23.	INGWELALA BODY CORPORATE VS JOEL DARREL BAND AND 3 OTHERS	2021/28804	david@bvz.co.za	T
24.	BRIAN KAHN INC. VS BELFORD, PMW	2022/9773	brian@briankahn.co.za	O
25.	COMPOSERS, AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS NPC & ONE OTHER VS MAZINGA TV PRODUCTIONS CC & ONE OTHER	2021/43209	james@motshekgaroos.co.za	O
26.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS LESENYEHO PAULINA MANKOANE (NEE PADI) & 1 OTHER	2021/17044	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O
27.	KST TRADING PTY LTD V UNIVERSAL SERVICE AND ACCESS AGENCY OF SOUTH AFRICA	2021/35349	ramulongo@phungoinc.co.za	T
28.	EX PARTE CHOLWICH T	2022/12929	jjswanepoel0@telkomsa.net	B
29.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS KHOZA, TINASHE GIFT	2020/7402	marnelize@smitsew.co.za	O
30.	NEDBANK VS GASELA, KHULULEKILE SEMUNTO N.O.	2022/16421	divan@drsm.co.za	O
31.	FIRSTRAND AUTO RECEIVABLES (RF) LTD VS MR JULIAN GHURCIAN MODLEY	2022/1085	vgovender@rossouws.co.za	D

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

32.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS PIETER JACOB AUCAMP	2022/6694	alexb@mwlaw.co.za	O
33.	FIRST RAND BANK LIMITED VS 3 ROELAND ECCLESTON BEUKES, SAMANTHA NEL AND TWO OTHERS (CASE NO: 2021/37078)	2021/37078	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O
34.	NICOLAAS VAN DER WALT & ANOTHER VS NICOLAAS VAN DER WALT N.O & OTHERS	2022/5397	eloise@barnardinc.co.za	O
35.	ZANDILE ZANGA VS MEC GAUTENG HUMAN SETTLEMENT ,GLADYS MAHLASI AND REGISTRAR OF DEEDS PRETORIA	2022/14892	info@dubettorneys.co.za	O
36.	NONZWAKAZI MQWATHI AND TWO OTHERS VS BONISIWE DAPHNE INNOCENTIA KHUMALO AND ANOTHER	2022/15024	info@baloyintsakoattorneys.co.za	V
37.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS RAMOTSHELA C.W	2018/42265	wcrichton@endvdm.co.za	O
38.	ABSA BANK LIMITED vs KRIEL, GERT ANDRIES	2017/41286	cobus@smitsew.co.za	O
39.	SIRIUS FINANCIAL SERVICES JHB (PTY) LTD VS ELIZABETH MUHAMED AND 2 OTHERS	2020/24517	advmhlanga@gmail.com	EV

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.