OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 24 JANUARY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: LENYAI AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY**: BMashamba@judiciary.org.za

---

NOTICE Motion Applications Office Telephone Number's Update

Kindly note and be advised the telephone contact numbers for the Motion Application's Section as stated in Paragraph 241.2.1 of the Revised Directive is updated (for technical reasons) as follows;

1. Unopposed Motions – Old 011 335 0419

   New 010 494 8578

2. Opposed Motions – Old 011 335 0332

   New 010 494 8376

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

1.	SAWINDU 08(RF)(PTY) LTD vs NOMSA RIKHOTSO	2018/5420	ffransman@straussdaly.co.za	O
2.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MIKE'S MEGACCESSORIES (PTY) LTD & ANOTHER	2021/35009	patrick@vddinc.co.za	S
3.	NEDBANK vs BONYADITSE OB	2021/42099	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	O
4.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LIMITED AND OTHER vs YVETTE SALON CLINIC (PTY) LTD AND OTHER	2020/24612	winterton@sjp.co.za	T
5.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LIMITED AND OTHERS vs K2012091435 INC AND NYEPA, TIGERE	2021/13017	grobler@sjp.co.za	O
6.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LTD / SASFIN BANK LIMITED / SUNLYN (PTY)LTD VS FLOWER CENTRE CC / GOVINDA KRISEN / GOVINDA NATHAN	2021/ 9172	grobler@sjp.co.za	O
7.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 05 (PTY) LTD v MBEDZI; MBENHENI, FRANK	2021/41407	adriaan@odbb.co.za	O
8.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 05 (PTY) LTD vs MPOFU; SIZOMTHINI, ZIPORRAH	2021/41409	adriaan@odbb.co.za	T
9.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE GJ (PTY) LTD vs DIRE; LINDA	2021/16652	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
10.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 06 (PTY) LTD vs KHAMBULE; ADAM, OAGENG	2021/1194	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
11.	KEMSLEY CASLYN MELISSA vs RAF	2020/11009	daniela@levintatanis.co.za	O
12.	LESEDI DINTOE VS THE DEPUTY INFORMATION OFFICER : LERATONG HOSPITAL	2021/48812	masibulelenjuze@gmail.com	O
13.	PARK MANSIONS BODY CORPORATE vs STAND 3757 JOHANNESBURG SHAREBLOCK LTD & 1 OTHER	2021/37177	hein@shplaw.co.za	O
14.	BUSINESS PARTNERS LIMITED vs GPA ELITE (PTY) LTD	2021/26884	Email Hidden	O
15.	ALEX RAKGOADI vs DIMAKATSO RAKGOADI	2017/15643	jabu@ndhlovuajinc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

16.	EMPOWER FUNDING (PTY) LTD vs OCEAN AND INLAND DEVELOPMENTS (PTY) LTD & 2 OTHERS	2021/25780	zahnri@pstlaw.co.za	O
17.	CONFIANCE ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTIONS N.O. (IN ITS CAPACITY AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE BODY CORPROATE OF SAN MICHELLE) vs BE-GRAND HOLDINGS & 7 OTHERS	2019/44160	hein@shplaw.co.za	O
18.	SASFIN BANK LIMITED vs SUNLYN (PTY) LTD VS SHOPFITTERS STUDIO (PTY) LTD / CHRIST0 WOUTER DE KLERK	2021/28644	pa1@mblaw.co.za	O
19.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs ABEL M.A. HLAHANE & ANOTHER	2020/34326	lorgat@sjp.co.za	S
20.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs NYONI PB + 1 OTHER	2021/34698	lebohangm@hammondpole.co.za	D
21.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs KOBOLA M	2021/32631	lebohangm@hammondpole.co.za	D
22.	CAMERON MICHAEL IRVING PHILLIPS	2021/46567	dyllon@hjw.co.za	O
23.	VUSUMUZI NGUDA SIBANDA vs ABONGILE ASPIDISTRA BIXA	2021/19372	mabitsela@afvanwyk.co.za	C
24.	DIBONEG MOTAUNG obo REFILWE MOTAUNG vs MEC FOR HEALTH, GAUTENG	2019/40685	mzattorneys@telkomsa.net	O
25.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LTD vs KHUMALO FRANCINA DINEO	2020/2246	info@stupelberman.co.za	D
26.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs TUMELO MOGALE RAMALEPE	2021/45746	demi.jansevv@jay.co.za	O
27.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs MTEZA, NKOSILINDILE	2021/46266	madeleine@jay.co.za	O
28.	C LEKOLOANE & NB LEKOLOANE vs PM MALATSI & BAPHUTING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CC & Dept OF HUMAN SETTLEMENT	2018/41884	tafadzwa@pgainc.co.za	O
29.	AYOOB TOFFIE vs THE MINISTER OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES	2018/10325	paultleisher@telkomsa.net	O
30.	ABSA BANK LIMITED vs COLE-COURTNEY JACQUELINE	2019/41680	cdbruyn@coxyeats.co.za	FS

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

31.	LINHAS AEREAS MOCAMBIQUE T/A MOZAMBIQUE AIRLINES vs SEPONYE ISAAC MAMPANA & OTHERS	2021/18550	mariska@fdclaw.co.za	O
32.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs FELD CONSULTING PROPRIETARY LIMITED AND OTHERS	2020/18773	obukula@werksmans.com	CE
33.	KHUMALO CHRISTINA FUNDISWA vs KHUMALO NONDUMISO BEATRICE AND 1 OTHER	2021/2604	emeldajohnson@rocketmail.com	O
34.	WESBANK, A DIVISION OF FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs FIRST MASTER GROUP (PTY) LTD vs YUSUF ABDOL BUX	2021/36433	desireeg@rossouws.co.za	D
35.	ZONDO, M vs ZONDO, H	2020/31767	lizel@gebersohn.co.za	T
36.	TOYOTA FINANCIAL SERVICES (SOUTH AFRICA) LTD. vs MTSHALI, JOHANNES	2020/40983	karen@smithlaw.co.za	T
37.	CHETTY, Y VS CHETTY S	2021/7865	lizel@gebersohn.co.za	CC
38.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 06 (PTY) LTD vs NYATHI; LANGENI	2021/46372	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
39.	STANDARD BANK LIMITED vs THABO OUPA MOLETSANE	2020/0819	Email Hidden	D
40.	Nedbank Limited vs MAGANGA, ARTWELL MUNYARADZI	2021/25531	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O
41.	WESBANK , A DIVISION OF FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs DR ME WEAKLEY AND ASSOCIATES INC T/A SMILEWAY / MARIA ELISABERT WEAKLEY	2021/9120	deborac@rossouws.co.za	O
42.	TOYOTA FINANCIAL SERVICES (SOUTH AFRICA) LTD vs MTSHALI, JOHANNES	2020/40406	louise@smithlaw.co.za	T
43.	IEMAS FINANCIAL SERVICES (CO-OPERATIVE) LIMITED vs GUMANI; MAEMU, JOAS	2021/23251	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
44.	SERENGETI ESTATES PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION NPC vs MIGUEL DUQUE SIMOES	2021/18589	coenie@cf-law.co.za	EP

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.