DIRECTIVE IN RESPECT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION MATTERS BEFORE ACTING JUDGE MOOKI

TO ALL PRACTITIONERS:

1. The Unopposed Motion Court Proceedings will be heard in an open court, **COURT GD.**

2. The Unopposed Motion Court Proceedings will **start at 10:00** to deal with removals and settlements, thereafter the roll. Counsel are requested to attend to the above before proceedings to other Courts.

3. Matters to be removed by Notice of Removal, the Notice shall be filed on Caselines and emailed to SMoloi@judiciary.org.za not later than the 22nd of August 2022.

4. Counsel are directed to email their Court Orders in **Word Format** indicating the words **"Court Order".** Amended Court Orders shall be emailed to SMoloi@judiciary.org.za not later than the end of business day.

5. All correspondences and communications may be directed to SMoloi@judiciary.org.za & 0658402346

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 23 AUGUST 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: MOOKI AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: Smoloi@judiciary.org.za**

1.	RICHFIELD BODY CORPORATE VS JABULANI MPOFU AND LETTY MPOFU	2021/58945	allisattorneys@gmail.com	FS
2.	THE TRUSTEES OF THE VELOCITY FINANCE ISSUER TRUST VS MR NJABULO STEMBISO CHONCO	2021/57671	vgovender@rossouws.co.za	O
3.	SAWINDU 08 (RR) (PTY) LTD VS SOMHLAHLO: BONGANI **REMOVED BY NOTICE**	2020/6080	skowlaser@straussdaly.co.za	O
4.	SHAI DALLAS RAYMOND VS MINISTER OF POLICE	2021/51144	twalaphindy@gmail.com	D
5.	SIRIUS FINANCIAL SERVICES (PTY) LTD VS PHILLIMON MARAMBA	2020/24511	jhb@preciousmuleya.co.za	EU

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

6.	TMA INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD V. UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS OF ZAKSTINE BUILDING UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS OF ZAKSTINE BUILDING # 2022-003176	2022-003176	jhb@preciousmuleya.co.za	I
7.	METAL INDUSTRIES PROVIDENT FUND VS HIGH FREQUENCY HEATING SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LTD	2022/13074	admin@dmbattorneys.co.za	O
8.	TAKOLIA HAROON & 5 OTHERS VS THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG & 3 OTHERS	2020/23617	mike@vmw-inc.co.za	I
9.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS. KHUTSOANE ; K + 1	2021/25983	nadined@bvz.co.za	O
10.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MOLIE VICTORIA SOMNISO AND OTHERS	2019/13826	simphiwe@lowndes.co.za	O
11.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MOTHIBATSELA; O.M	2021/20518	david@bvz.co.za	AV
12.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. DLAMINI; P.G.	2022/7445	david@bvz.co.za	D
13.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MSIMANGO; B.S.	2022/5893	david@bvz.co.za	D
14.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS A E CONTRACTING PROJECTS (PTY) LTD + 1	2021/59224	david@bvz.co.za	D
15.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS SLATER; M.D.	2020/ 1728	david@bvz.co.za	D
16.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. COMBRINK; S.D.M.	2022/9307	david@bvz.co.za	D
17.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MADELA; R.S.	2021/58406	david@bvz.co.za	D
18.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MAKAMO; B.N.	2021/20563	david@bvz.co.za	D
19.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MTIMKULU; L.B.	2022/6381	david@bvz.co.za	D

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

20.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. SHIRINDZI; M.V.	2021/43613	david@bvz.co.za	D
21.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. SONDEZI; S.A.	2021/43632	david@bvz.co.za	D
22.	IMAS FINANCE (CO-OPERATIVE) LIMITED V BELENG; TSHIDISO, PHILLEMON	2022/6509	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
23.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE GJ (PTY) LTD V MORALADI; INNOCENTIA, TSHEGOFATSO	2022/11299	adriaan@odbb.co.za	T
24.	FIRSTRAND BANK VS PILLAY RA	2021/24340	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O
25.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS CLOETE: MORNÉ	2022/7323	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	D
26.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS KAJA: SURESH	2022/5951	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	D
27.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS KASETTI: MALLIKARJUN	2022/7493	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	D
28.	SASFIN BANK LIMITED VS HAVINGSTONE GROUP (PTY) LTD (FORMERLY KNOWN AS RALWELA CONSTRUCTION (PTY) LTD) & 2 OTHERS	2022/4449	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
29.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LTD & 2 OTHERS VS MADIBOGO BATLAPING PRIMARY SCHOOL	2020/38642	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
30.	GORDON MORRISON FAMILY TRUST & 4 OTHERS VS MADODA LOURNEL DUKA & 2 OTHERS	2020/14447	francois@boelavdm.co.za	O
31.	LEWIS, R.A. VS LESTER C.C & LESTER C	2021/29117	francois@boelavdm.co.za	EU
32.	DIESEL INNOVATIONS (PTY) LTD VS TM CONSORTIUM (PTY) LTD & TSHILIDZI MERCY MAROLE	2022/16467	elisme@etayloratt.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

33.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS SELALE: FLORENCE MAKOTSENG	2022/8798	patricia@stupelberman.co.za	D
34.	SHERIFF JHB NORTH VS BOULDER PROPERTY SERVICES PTY LTD, IN RE: FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS DAVID KAHN AND ASSOCIATES AND OTHERS	2019/20373	zoosthuizen@werksmans.com	AD
35.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF) PROPRIETY LIMITED VS MOSIKILI, DESIREE	2020/26193	ilze@timdutoit.co.za	AM
36.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LTD VS MAGALWENI FINANCIAL ADVISORS CC & 2 OTHERS	2022/3193	lelanie@odbb.co.za	O
37.	VOLTEX (PTY) LTD (REGISTRATION NUMBER : 1964/006740/07) VS SAM COMFORT MHLABA (IDENTITY NUMBER : 8303023 5538 089)	2021/30853	daniella@orelowitz.co.za	O
38.	NEDBANK VS MKIZE; F R	2022/122	nadined@bvz.co.za	O
39.	ABSA BANK VS SCHOLTZ F L F & J	2022/00823	cchristians@straussdaly.co.za	D
40.	DENISE MERLE THOMPSON VS VINCENT HORNE - CASE NO.:	2022/16631	kmorris@bbmlaw.co.za	O
41.	PROREX TRADING 65 CC V JOHAN VAN WYK & 1 OTHER **REMOVED BY NOTICE**	2022-619	jjswanepoel0@telkomsa.net	COURT ONLINE
42.	PPLICATION NEDBANK LTD vs MUTANGARA T	2021/55588	tebogo@pabasa.co.za	

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.