OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 13 JANUARY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: MOLAHLEHI J **JUGDES' SECRETARY**: **NMncwango@judiciary.org.za**

Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

Learn More | Meeting options

Good day

Kindly note that all draft orders must be uploaded on caseline.

Nokuthula Mncwango

011335 0228

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

1.	WEI SHI vs CHONGQING QINGXING INDUSTRY SA (PTY) LTD	2021/30245	albert@huang.co.za	O
2.	ADRIAN MATTHEW THORNE and CISKA ANDREA SMIT	2021/25740	Email Hidden	O
3.	STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs MOSSIE, BULELWA JACQUELINE	2019/42946	alex@timdutoit.co.za	D
4.	MAKACHABA NEO IVAN vs NKOSI NKOSANA INCORPORATED	2017/33262	secretary3@nninc.co.za	O
5.	ITAX TAX ADMINISTRATION CC vs AD-OPS CC	2021/41390	monique@dbm-law.co.za	FL
6.	MUZIZI PAKISO MOSES vs SAN GIULIO BODY CORPORATE	2021/44397	legal4@riclaw.co.za	O
7.	BASSEY LOVELYN NKIRU ENO vs PRINCE ENO ABASIUBONG BASSEY	2020/14633	austin@okekeattorneys.com	O
8.	CEBILE JESTA METHULA vs NKUTHA MACALENI AND ANOTHER	2020/27418	info@mahlokwaneattorneys.co.za	O
9.	LESIBA OBED GAMA vs MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT JOHANNESBURG AND 2 OTHERS	2021/43301	obedgama58@gmail.com	O
10.	JOUBERT, WERNER vs JOUBERT, ALISON JEAN	2019/31240	litigator@mstrydom.co.za	O
11.	HARROWLANE INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD T/A FOODTOWN HYPER SAVEMOOR CASH & CARRY vs PHILEMON PAULRON ZULU & 9 OTHERS	2021/45993	rcf-law@iafrica.com	I
12.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY vs NASSIF THELMA TERESA c/o ACE AUTO SALVAGE	2020/6873	litha@bmkinc.co.za	O
13.	DEON MARIUS BOTHA N.O & 1 OTHER vs NICOLAAS EVERHARDUS DE KOCK AND 2 OTHERS	2021/26442	henk@lawsb.co.za	O
14.	MERCANTILE BANK,A DIVISION OF CAPITEC BANK LIMITED vs VILAKAZI AMANDA SIBONGILE	2021/45968	razia@jay.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

15.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED (FIRST NATIONAL BANK DIVISION) vs HILAL BAKRI	2021/39506	esme@jay.co.za	D
16.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG vs ILUNGA MATAMBA MATHIEU	2021/7489	vusi@derrocks.co.za	O
17.	INVESTEC BANK LTD vs CABCON TECHNOLOGIES (PTY) LTD	2021/37442	mponeng@drsm.co.za	O
18.	SIMPHIWE NTSELE vs VUYISILE SITWAYI	2016/8140	elijah@ock.co.za	O
19.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF) (PTY) LTD vs MBONGENI GODFREY SEKELENI	2019/26275	reception@jwlaw.co.za	O
20.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF)(PTY) LIMITED vs GOITSEONE CAIN MATLOKO	2020/4611	reception@jwlaw.co.za	O
21.	TEBOGO FAITH MOCOANCOENG (NEÉ MANYAKALLE) vs BAEPI MASOABI THOMAS MOCOANCOENG	2021/42253	roxosupport@roxolaw.co.za	O
22.	MFC (A DIVISION OF NEDBANK LIMITED) vs EIBERG FRED JOHN	2021/4188	linda@jay.co.za	O
23.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs CANNAC TRANSPORT (PTY) LTD AND MONOGRAN NAICKER	2021/43668	demi.jansevv@jay.co.za	O
24.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs COENRAAD WILLEM FREDERICK STOLTZ	2021/43658	demi.jansevv@jay.co.za	O
25.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs DOCTOR JOHN NHLAPO	2021/27657	demi.jansevv@jay.co.za	O
26.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs GEHARD VAN TONDER	2021/45330	demi.jansevv@jay.co.za	O
27.	INDLUPROP 1 (PTY) LTD vs MOLEFE, KARABO AND ANOTHER	2021/46337	wendy@mervynjsmith.co.za	O
28.	VODDEN, ANTHONY CHARLES vs POIRIER (NEE INGRAM) PERBYL MARELIZE	2021/7823	shanette@hugocronje.co.za	O
29.	NGXOLA, GWENDOLINE JACQUELINE NONDUMISO vs MPHUTI, PHILANI & ALL UNLAWFUL OCCUPANTS OF 12 TSHIPA STREET, PIMVILLE	2021/39296	gwebuthemba@telkomsa.net	T
30.	JOHAN BRITZ N.O. vs WELCOME CALU & 2 OTHERS	2021/43385	jaco@louwheyl.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

31.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG vs CELESTE NDLAZI & ANOTHER	2020/43067	Email Hidden	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.