OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 12th SEPTEMBER 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: THUPAATLASE AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: ADuToit@judiciary.org.za**

1.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY VS NS &MT KGWETE	2022/21270	reception@jwlaw.co.za	D
2.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY VS M.E & G.D ZULU	2021/51697	reception@jwlaw.co.za	D
3.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MUSIMIKI; JONAS	2019/42062	kulu@bvz.co.za	AV
4.	NEDBANK LTD VS DUBE; N N	2020/33369	nadined@bvz.co.za	AV
5.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MASHAMBA; F	2022/8857	mariaan@bvz.co.za	AV
6.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS NKOSI; N	2021/58151	mariaan@bvz.co.za	AV
7.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS TURNER; K L A	2022/2945	mariaan@bvz.co.za	AV

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

8.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD VS MARIBENG, MAHWITING SHADRACK	2020/42683	efiling@mlbester.com	GB
9.	MAVUNDA NDAHENI OBO MAVUNDA HLULANI	2019/4350	mva@smainc.co.za	O
10.	BARRY DU TOIT VS. ASHLEY MICHAEL YOUNGMAN # 2022-636	2022-636	andrew@ashersons.co.za	O
11.	BONGANI AUBREY MDHLULI	2019/8280	happy@tmazibukoattorneys.co.za	O
12.	KGOPOTSO LEBURU	2019/31053	happy@tmazibukoattorneys.co.za	O
13.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS NETSHIVHANGANI; MATODZI THOMAS	2020/36275	mariaan@bvz.co.za	O
14.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS DE WET, AJ	2022/12934	nicole@aucron.co.za	O
15.	LION RIDGE BODY CORPORATE VS SIKHUMBUZO NGOBESE	2022/17077	kim@bamlaw.co.za	O
16.	LION RIDGE BODY CORPORATE VS THENJIWE TAMMIE N.O.	2022/18101	kim@bamlaw.co.za	O
17.	LION RIDGE BODY CORPPORATE VS ADRIAN MICHAEL	2022/18107	kim@bamlaw.co.za	O
18.	BIG NAME INVESTMENTS 1020 (PTY) LTD VS MOTHA, BHEKI ASHMON AND THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	2022/13245	louise@hooklaw.co.za	O
19.	BIG NAME INVESTMENTS 1020 (PTY) LTD VS ZULU, NDAWONYE AND THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG	2022/13244	louise@hooklaw.co.za	O
20.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MARILLIER; M.B.	2022/10312	david@bvz.co.za	D
21.	RED OAK PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD V. THE UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS OF UNIT 36 TYGERBERG (DOOR 403) 46-48 PRIMROSE TERRACE, BEREA, JOHANNESBURG # 2022-002453	2022-002453	lee-ann@shplaw.co.za	O
22.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LIMITED / SASFIN BANK LIMITED / SUNLYN (PTY) LTD VS PULE INC/	2021/46952	itumeleng@puleinc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

	ITUMELENG, NONDWANA / THOBEJANE, WALIE POEL0 / PULE, MOKGOSI SIMPHIWE MALEPANE MAKHANUE / STRYDOM , ARI			
23.	AFHCO HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD VS MOUSSA LADIES FASHION AND BOUTIQUE STORE (PTY) LIMITED	2022/23729	wendy@mervynjsmith.co.za	O
24.	NORMANDIE COURT BODY CORPORATE VS ABERA, MENCHO LIRANSO AND ANOTHER	2022/23731	wendy@mervynjsmith.co.za	O
25.	UCONOMY YOUTH (PTY) LTD	2022/11751	ewvanzyl@ewvazylatt.co.za	O
26.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS DEAN ANTHONY SCHARNECK	2022/005988	demi.jansevv@jay.co.za	O
27.	ABSA HOME LOANS GUARANTEE COMPANY(RF) PROPRIETARY LIMITED & ANOTHER VS MAPHANGA, BONGANI PRAISEGOD	2021/38993	zandile@timdutoit.co.za	D
28.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS DLAMINI M & MG	2021/24823	attiyahh@mjs-inc.co.za	D
	COURT ONLINE CASE			
29.	ABSA HOME LOANS GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF) PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS. OSCAR MGCINA	2022-003344		
30.	BARRY DU TOIT VS. ASHLEY MICHAEL YOUNGMAN	2022-636		
31.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE VS. MKHIZE; ZIBUSE, ROBERT	2022-005889		
32.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS. RETSEDISITSWE TSHEDI GRIFFITH	2022-002836		
33.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS. SHEINA DIANA TSEEKE	2022-003195		
34.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. MOSES HLONGWANE	2022-002319		
35.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. SIVUYILE BIKITSHA	2022-136		
36.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. UYAPO ENGINEERING PROJECTS CC #	2022-005888		
37.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. SINETHEMBA AUGUST #	2022-002069		

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.