OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 12th SEPTEMBER 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: RANDERA AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: ZTwaku@judiciary.org.za**

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE PRESIDING JUDGE HAS DIRECTED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Practice Notes required in terms of paragraph 130 of the Revised – 18 September 2020 Consolidated Directive ('*the Consolidated Directive'*) and Draft Orders, in word format, must be e-mailed to ZTwaku@judiciary.org.za and to ingatwaku@gmail.com by no later than one full court day before the allocated date of the hearing.

2. The proceedings will be conducted in open court, commencing at 10h00 on the allocated date. Counsel are therefore to present themselves at Chambers 1204 at 09h45 for introductions.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

3. All files will be frozen on Friday 09 September 2022 at 16h00. The reason for freezing the files is to have control over the documents presented for filing after the cut off date. Should a party wish to file further papers before the hearing date, they are to seek the permission of the Judge via his Registrar who will, after the permission is granted, unfreeze the file to permit the uploading of the further documentation. Any party breaching this procedure may, amongst other consequences, be visited with a punitive costs order for such transgression.

4. Legal representatives are to access their Caselines files and are to ensure that a complete set of papers has been uploaded. If the papers are incomplete, the procedure set out in paragraph 3 hereof is to be followed.

5. The Judge may communicate with the parties' representatives via widely shared notes posted on the electronic file. Legal Representatives are to look out for these communications and are requested to respond to such communications.

6. Strict compliance with paragraph 6 of the Consolidated Directive will be demanded.

1.	ABSA BANK LTD & ANOTHER VS MASHANGWA, BLESSING & ANOTHER	2021/59047	cobus@smitsew.co.za	D
2.	ALBARAKA BANK LIMITED VS K R AGENCIES CC & KHALID HOOSEN KHARWA & K R TEXTILE AGENCIES CC	2022/13043	adriaanreyneke@law.co.za	O
3.	NATIONAL EDUCATION, HEALTH AND ALLIED WORKERS' UNION VS MOLO AFRICA TECHNOLOGIES T/A PINK IP AND OTHERS	2021/36547	ornate@mpmgroup.co.za	O
4.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS NAIDOO DEENEN AND THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2020/28912	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O
5.	SSP PUMPS (SOUTH AFRICA) PTY LTD VS LOUIS VAN WYK	2017/48873	martin.hattingh@webberwentzel.com	O
6.	THE CONTRACT CLEANING NATIONAL PROVIDENT FUND VS CLEANSERVE (PTY) LTD	2022/24070	estralita@gunstonstrandvik.com	GB
7.	THE CONTRACT CLEANING NATIONAL PROVIDENT FUND VS INTFUTFUKO MANAGEMENT SERVICES CC	2022/24072	estralita@gunstonstrandvik.com	GB

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

8.	THE CONTRACT CLEANING NATIONAL PROVIDENT FUND VS MBITHA TRADING AND CONSTRUCTION (PTY) LTD	2022/24069	estralita@gunstonstrandvik.com	GB
9.	VELOCITY FINANCE (RF) LIMITED VS MR MTHOBISI MAJOZI	2020/37578	desireeg@rossouws.co.za	O
10.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MULAUDZI N.O THE MUKHETHWA FAMILY TRUST	2022/00174	wessel@endvdm.co.za	D
11.	GROWTHPOINT PROPERTIES LIMITED VS SOUTH AFRICAN POST OFFICE SOC LTD T/A POST OFFICE	2022/14698	reaan@uitweb.co.za	D
12.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY VS SOOGREEM JUGDEESH	2022/20868	nigel@mhpattorneys.co.za	O
13.	EX-PARTE FAHRENHEIT INVESTMENTS	2022/1877	gdficq@gdficq.co.za	O
14.	VIWE MAHLANZA OBO LINDOKUHLE MAHLANZA VS THE MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL FOR HEALTH, GAUTENG PROVINCE	2021/01188	litigation1@matubattorneys.co.za	O
15.	ACTOM (PTY) LTD VS SURENDRA ROYCHAND SEETHAL & 2 OTHERS	2022/8068	kiyara@oliveira.co.za	O
16.	GANCA &GANCA VS MASEHLA/MATHIBENG & MOODLEY	2019/40372	janet@coetzeeatt.co.za	O
17.	WINGPROP PROPERTY MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD VS FONTANA HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD	2021/58554	chetty@schindlers.co.za	O
18.	EX PARTE APPLICATION-KOTERBA VS KOTERBA & OTHERS	2022/17251	kevin@dupreezlaw.co.za	EP
19.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LTD VS PRELENE JAGLAL-GOVINDERPERSHAD	2022/5835	lelanie@odbb.co.za	S

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

20.	LIEBERSTEIN BODY CORPORATE VS D. A. FRAY & 3 OTHERS	2021/55406	jp@shplaw.co.za	O
21.	SCOVERY LIFE LTD VS LEMMER, A.J.	2018/11414	wessel@endvdm.co.za	D
22.	VENSKE; AMANDA VS ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	2021/20438	zaydc1@raf.co.za	O
23.	ABSA BANK LIMITED & ANOTHER VS PIETERSEN, YVONNE ELLEN	2022-885	cobus@smitsew.co.za	D
24.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS MABENA, PATIENCE PINKIE	2021/11070	cobus@smitsew.co.za	D
25.	ABSA BANK LIMITED & ANOTHER VS MASHAU, MUSANDWA AGNES & ANOTHER	2020/32459	cobus@smitsew.co.za	D
26.	ABSA BANK LIMITED & ANOTHER VS MTHOMBENI, KRISJAN BOTAPI	2022-881	cobus@smitsew.co.za	D
27.	ERASMUS E A VS GRINDROD BANK LIMITED & 2 OTHERS	2021/45757	tarin@jmsainc.com	I
	COURT ONLINE CASE			
28.	AHMED EBRAHIM BADAT	2022-006666		
29.	PIETER JACOBUS LESSINGH VS. RIANDA LESSINGH #	2022-016375		
30.	PHILILE MOMUSA MBATHA	2022-008799		
31.	HELEN GESIKA OUBAHOUIN BOUBALA VS. MOISE KADIESA KALUB	2022-010810		
32.	SABERA BIBI JELANI	2022-006684		
34.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. GERT PETRUS BRUWER ANDERSON #	2022-005087		
35.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. HENDRIKA PETRONELLA JANSEN	2022-002318		
36.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. JULIUS MOEKETSI #	2022-672		
37.	RED OAK PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD v. THE UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS OF UNIT 36 TYGERBERG (DOOR 403) 46-48 PRIMROSE TERRACE, BEREA, JOHANNESBURG	2022-002453		

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.