OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 11th MAY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: STRYDOM J **JUGDES' SECRETARY: CLMabunda@judiciary.org.za**

1.	NEDBANK VS WELCOME L R	2021/37261	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	D
2.	BAITSHEPI CONSTANCE ISAAC vs NOMVULA PHIRI AND 3 OTHERS	2021/30499	nazeer@peerattorneys.co.za	EU
3.	INGENUITY PROPERTY INVESTMENTS LIMITED VS GORDON LESLIE ODGERS AND STEPHANUS ENGELBERTUS HAARHOFF	2020/24592	rgootkin@werksmans.com	T
4.	VAN SCHALKWYK, S COETZEE , B J & COETZEE, J W	2019/25936	sian@wol.co.za	O
5.	JAN VAN DEN BOS N.O VS MOHAI JOSEPH BOY, MOHAI ANNIE	2020/11200	hein@shplaw.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

6.	MADONDO MLANDELI MUZIWENKOSI AND MINISTER OF POLICE AND ONE	2012/18474	msingathi@ndlebeattorneys.co.za	O
7.	MSIMANG, NP V MINISTER OF POLICE	2021/59304	pncube@mmincattorneys.co.za	D
8.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LIMITED V EBRAHIM MOOMTAZ	2020/14798	siphesihle@kw.co.za	O
9.	MLANGENI V.M. VS MLANGENI I.S. & 4 OTHERS	2021/41427	chewe@machakattorneys.co.za	V
10.	MATZUKIS, C V MATZUKIS, N	2021/18035	gcohenattorney@gmail.com	I
11.	MARGARITA ANUCHIN VS LEON JACO ELS & 2 OTHERS	2021/13383	sharusha@bmalaw.co.za	CE
12.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICPALITY VS LETSOELA NONTANDO NTOMBI	2021/27019	nigel@mhpattorneys.co.za	I
13.	DIPPERNAAR PETRIE N.O V NDULUA KWEZI GEOFFREY & 2 OTHERS	2022/1385	litigation1@mkninc.co.za	EU
14.	ABSA BANK LIMITED V MIA, MOHAMMED IQBAL & ANOTHER	2009/24961	wna@wnlaw.co.za	O
15.	SOLOMON L VS SOLOMON CF	2020/7338	mauritze@couzyn.co.za	T
16.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY VS SIPHIWE RADEBE	2021/19826	reception@jwlaw.co.za	O
17.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS JOUBERT JJ QUICK LEAP INVESTMENTS 138 (PTY) LTD & 7 OTHERS	2015/24317	rowena@lowndes.co.za	T
18.	MIA TELECOMMS PROPRIETARY LIMITED V I-SECURE DIGITAL PROPRIETARY LIMITED & 1 OTHER	2022/1571	jjswanepoel0@telkomsa.net	CE

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

19.	ACUCAP INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD VS SOUTH AFRICAN POST OFFICE SOC LTD T/A POST OFFICE	2021/56835	reaan@uitweb.co.za	D
20.	ANENKOSI MEKO VS TIMOTHY OUPA MALEKA AND ANOTHER	2021/23605	consulting@skosanalaw.com	O
21.	BLUE CRANE ECO MALL (PTY) LTD VS KICKS SPORTWEAR (PTY) LTD T/A KICKS SPORTS	2021/53495	reaan@uitweb.co.za	D
22.	MOTLOUNG, LINDIWE LOLO LINK NO.3741812	2022/1643	jessicaa@medlaw.co.za	O
23.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY VS MANDISA JANE MAPULENYANA MILE	2021/22211	lindo@vhlaw.co.za	O
24.	EDME INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD / WALTLOO MEAT AND CHICKEN WITBANK (PTY) LTD	2021/43919	reaan@uitweb.co.za	D
25.	MARIA PERTUNIA THELA VS TONNT MPHO DIALE AND 2 OTHERS	2021/51559	sehunaneattorneys@gmail.com	O
26.	RENEE LOUISE & 2 OTHERS IN RE: OTTO, JOHANNES MARTHINUS:- FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A CURATOR AD LITEM, A CURATOR BONIS AND A CURATOR PERSONAE	2022/6689	melanie@vlalaw.co.za	GF
27.	NOKULUNGA NOMATHEMBA NGCOBO VS TEMESGEN HUSSEN AND 2 OTHERS	2021/52696	sehunaneattorneys@gmail.com	O
28.	FEZILE CATAZA V MUSAWENKOSI CATAZA, MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS AND MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT	2022/8739	ngwakomokhabukhi@gmail.com	GB
29.	INVESTEC PROPERTY FUND LIMITED VS NEO SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD T/A NEO SOLUTIONS ON BEHALF OF NEO AFRICA	2021/37049	reaan@uitweb.co.za	S
30	LESEDI GEORGE SEETE AND 1 OTHER VS SYDNEY TAKALANI MATHIDI AND 2 OTHERS	2021/51751	sehunaneattorneys@gmail.com	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.