OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 11 AUGUST 2022. BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: THOMPSON AJ

JUGDES' SECRETARY: KNetshivhale@judiciary.org.za / 011 335 0119

Directives:

1. All unopposed motion matters will be heard in open court (physical court) in court room GD;

2. Legal representatives requiring return dates must approach the Registrar's office to request same well before the hearing of any unopposed application that requires the allocation of a return date - Contact no. to obtain return dates Tel: 010 494 8578

3. Should your matter not appear on the roll, please query same with the set down office – Tel: 010 494 8578

4. Parties must present the court with 2 (two) hard copies of draft orders and can safely change the wording "draft order" to read "court order".

5. There is no need for introductions in chambers prior to court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 11 AUGUST 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: THOMPSON AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: KNetshivhale@judiciary.org.za**

1.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. THOBEJANE; L.	2022/6377	david@bvz.co.za	D
2.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. THOBEJANE; M.S.	2022/9428	david@bvz.co.za	D
3.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. WILISILE; M.	2021/56995	david@bvz.co.za	D
4.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS. LUTHABO CONSTRUCTION AND PROJECTS CC + 2	2021/01036	david@bvz.co.za	D
5.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS PRINCETON KHUMBULANI GATSHA & ONE OTHER	2022/8453	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O
6.	LUNGISA SWITCHGEARS AND TRANSFORMERS (PTY) LTD & 3 OTHERS VS SASFIN BANK LIMITED (CASE NUMBER: 40511/2018)	2018/40511	winterton@sjp.co.za	O
7.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED T/A FIRST NATIONAL BANK VS BLACK SNOW PROJECTS AND EVENTS (PTY) LTD AND ANOTHER	2019/28588	ca@cassimlaw.co.za	O
8.	NEDBANK VS NDHLOVU N T	2021/13029	refilwe@lowndes.co.za	D
9.	JOSEPH PELOEANNE OBO OF THREE MINORS VS THE ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	2020/26207	katlego@katlegorattorneys.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

10.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LTD VS DETERMENT TASK FORCE SECURITY SERVICES (PTY) LTD & ANOTHER	2022/3520	lelanie@odbb.co.za	O
11.	SCHEPERS, DC VS DE JAGER, BW N.O AND JAGER, U N.O AND THE MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT JOHANNESBURG	2021/37609	djattorneys@mweb.co.za	T
12.	CITY OF EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY VS NDUNYANA, NOBELUNGU LILLIAN, ROSHELLE SMITH AND UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS OF UNIT 16 WYCHWOOD MANSIONS	2021/23302	sophie@smilaw.co.za	EU
13.	AFHCO HOLDINGS (PTY) LIMITED VS THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG AND TWO OTHERS	2022/4293	ethan@mervynjsmith.co.za	O
14.	TRANSNET (SOC) LIMITED VS HUMPHREY ELECTRICAL AND CONSTRUCTION CC	2020/37110	admin@nkadimengattorneys.co.za	O
15.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS UMNOTHOZWIDE TRADING ENTERPRISE CC / MZWANDILE COLIN NXUMALO	2022/8768	esme@jay.co.za	O
16.	SA TAXI DEVELOPMENT FINANCE (PTY) LTD VS MAVUSO, MZUBANDZI	2021/53585	efiling@mlbester.com	D
17.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MAPAILA: TSIPA JAMES	2021/58432	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	AV
18.	NEDBANK LIMITED & TAYLOR MOSIBUDI PR THABANG MATSHOENEISCILLA & MOKGATLE	2021/28803	law5@vhilaw.co.za	O
19.	SS EAGLE DAWN VS BAKKER DAVILENE N.O. & BAKKER WOUTER N.O. & 2 OTHERS	2021/11737	hein@shplaw.co.za	O
20.	VIWE SAMUEL NOTSHE VS STATE ATTORNEY-JOHANNESBURG AND SOLICITOR GENERAL	2022/966	buthelezinf.attorneys@gmail.com	T

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

21.	BRENDON COWLEY AND 2 OTHERS VS NICHOLAS JOHN GRANGE	2022/10558	ca2@dzalaw.co.za	PS
22.	MARK ANURUE VS DOREEN ELIZABETH ARBUTHNOT & OTHER	2021/15291	alrattorneys@mweb.co.za	O
23.	BUSINESS PARTNERS LIMITED VS ANNETTE COETZEE & 2 OTHERS	2021/34096	nico@westlex.co.za	O
24.	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LTD VS DR MIRJANA LUCIC	2022/6034	lelanie@odbb.co.za	S
25.	REDEFINE PROPERTIES LIMITED VS MY CHERI DIAMONDS CC	2022/8960	callyn@witzinc.co.za	O
26.	ABSA BANK MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS GLOBAL YOUTH ARTS AND ICT TRANS CONDUIT (PTY) LTD VS DIANA MOLOBANE MADIBA / SOPHIA MAHLAKU / MOOKETSI PROPHETIA SEATE	2021/56218	esme@jay.co.za	D
27.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS BERNARD SIBUSISO BHEBHE	2021/55135	lojen@umslaw.com	D
28.	MIDRAND RENTAL COMPANY (PTY) LTD & 2 OTHERS VS THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY & 3 OTHERS	2020/39363	info@avatt.co.za	H
29.	NEDBANK VS NYABADZA	2021/5081	luannew@hammondpole.co.za	O
30.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS TSHABANGU, DIRONTSHO GILLIAN	2015/34263	mercy@nelsonborman.co.za	O
31.	UNOPPOSED MOTION VS NOKUTHULA WALAZA & OTHER	2020/40622	willies@shabanguwattorneys.co.za	O
32.	NEDBANK LIMITED& RIBACK JODY GILBERT	2020/5488	law5@vhilaw.co.za	O
33.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA VS KGATLA MM	2022/14996	ntombi@ngolawjhb.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

34.	NISSAN FINANCE , A PRODUCT OF WESBANK , A DIVISION OF FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS TLADI MODIPA FIRE SERVICES (PTY) LTD / LAZARUS GIVEN MOROPA	2021/58992	rdlangamandla@rossouws.co.za	O
35.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS GOVENDER, CI	2021/35322	telanav@mjs-inc.co.za	O
36.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA VS MWAMBA, FL & MUCHELE, B	2021/52020	attiyahh@mjs-inc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.