OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 10th FEBRUARY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: WRIGHT J **JUGDES' SECRETARY: Mvukeya@judiciary.org.za**

NOTICE - Motion Applications Office Telephone Number's Update

Kindly note and be advised the telephone contact numbers for the Motion Application's Section as stated in Paragraph 241.2.1 of the Revised Directive is updated (for technical reasons) as follows;

1. Unopposed Motions – Old 011 335 0419

   New 010 494 8578

2. Opposed Motions – Old 011 335 0332

   New 010 494 8376

We do apologize for all inconvenience caused, the office landline connections broke in the last year and different interventions were made to remedy the situation.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

We do hope that this is a permanent update relating to contact details.

_____

Registrar- Motion Applications.

1.	DC UYS, CA GREATOREX & HS UYS VS MG TLAKE, EKURHULENIE MTEROPOLITAN & OTHERS	2021/53597	legal@hswartattorneys.com	O
2.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG VS AMELIA HARTLEY	2020/43059	vusi@derrocks.co.za	O
3.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG VS DENISE DIEDERICKS	2021/9104	vusi@derrocks.co.za	O
4.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG VS MLANGENI CATHERINE MAMOSALI & OTHERS	2014/25053	vusi@derrocks.co.za	O
5.	THEBEPHATSHWA PROPERTY (PTY) LTD VS ALL UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS AND THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2021/12285	jason@martinattorneys.co.za	O
6.	THEBEPHATSHWA PROPERTY (PTY) LTD VS ALL UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS AND CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2021/12284	jason@martinattorneys.co.za	O
7.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (RF) (PTY) LTD VS MOSIFANE TSHEPANG	2021/35449	efiling@mlbester.com	O
8.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD VS MAPHANGA NJABULO BLESSING	2020/42023	efiling@mlbester.com	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

9.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (RF) (PTY) LTD VS RATHABENG, MADUMETJA JAN	2021/24400	efiling@mlbester.com	O
10.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (RF) (PTY) LTD VS MOSIFANE TSHEPANG	2021/35449	efiling@mlbester.com	O
11.	EX PARTE TINDALE - CURATORSHIP APPLICATION	36240/2021	joselynn@fember.co.za	O
12.	CFAO MOTORS (PTY) LTD T/A LEXUS EAST RAND VS LEDAMA OLEKINA	2021/45431	mmathuto@wvl.co.za	O
13.	DU PLESSIS, JAN ABRAHAM	2021/23520	pa1@mblaw.co.za	O
14.	XOLANE TSHEPO CECIL TABANE	2021/51567	xtctabane@gmail.com	O
15.	JANETTE BRENDA OTTO VS JOSHUA OTTO	2021/47738	ace@dtalaw.co.za	FO
16	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS CM AIRCONDITIONING & MARAIS CONRAD	2016/36138	chanenevz@hammondpole.co.za	O
17.	BETTY LUKHOZI V MEC FOR HEALTH, GAUTENG PROVINCE	2019/24912	zodwa@mngqibisaattorneys.co.za	T
18.	KANSAI PLASCON (PTY) LIMITED/ELEGANT LINE TRADING 876 (PTY) LIMITED & MARK ANDREW KEIL	2016/14496	nickk@adhertzberg.co.za	O
19.	PJC STRAUSS V ASSEMBLIES OF GOD TRUST CO (PTY) LTD	2019/33150	nigel@mhpattorneys.co.za	I
20.	PHOENIX MACHINE PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD VS SHELTER INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD T/A ITAL VISUAL & AUDIO SERVICE & ANOTHER	2021/41429	brene@cmlegal.co.za	O
21.	MICHAEL JAMES VAN DEN BOS	2021/50520	jannie@hkattorneys.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

22.	VARGA, JOHN AND VARGA, DOREEN CAROL	2021/2396	blaw.helen@gmail.com	B
23.	HAJJAR, DEBBIE	2021/47714	leoncool3006@mweb.co.za	O
24.	WESBANK , A DIVISION OF FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS PSG HAULERS CC	2020/38747	desireeg@rossouws.co.za	O
25.	BIKHAHLAMBA TRADING ENTERPRISE VS AFRICAN SELF HELP (BOARD OF DIRECTORS)	2017/08085	masina@masinainc.co.za	O
26.	NEDBANK VS TSHABANGU S	2021/13031	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	D
27.	DUSTY GOLD INVESTMENTS 3 (PTY) LTD VS THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY AND THREE OTHERS	2021/41510	ethan@mervynjsmith.co.za	O
28.	MALESHANE BRIGITTE MASEBE VS THE MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT, N.O.	2021/47401	roxoadministration@roxolaw.co.za	O
29.	SEHOOLE , LIPUO ALICE AND BONGANI GEORGE LEBOGANG VS THE REGISTRAR OF DEEDS , JOHANNESBURG	2020/15723	touche@nlateam.com	O
30.	UNLOCKED PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD VS MABOKE MOSES MAWASHA	2021/24154	chetty@schindlers.co.za	O
31.	MASINGA, MATIMBA MACDONALD VS MATAKANA, GODFREY AND ALL PERSONS IN UNLAWFUL OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY, AND ANOTHER	2021/22324	camila@burnett-law.co.za	O
32.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MKHIZE N.	2018/43503	roxanne@rbatt.co.za	O
33.	MUSSON E VS PHELE M	2020/38763	nabeela@nmoolainc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

34.	ABSA BANK VS M S MAKGOPELA	2020/38771	cmichael@straussdaly.co.za	O
35.	ZVEZDA INVESTMENT SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD VS SEOKOMA, MABATLA EMMANUEL & THREE OTHERS	2021/46076	james@motshekgaroos.co.za	O
36.	C G H PROPERTY 25 (PTY) LTD VS AERO CITY TYRES CC AND OTHERS	2021/50733	bianca@ala.co.za	O
37.	KAMOGELO MOHLALA VS MINISTER OF POLICE	2021/12101	kumalot@mweb.co.za	V
38.	THABO GIFT DLAMINI VS MINISTER OF POLICE	2021/12102	kumalot@mweb.co.za	V
39	TSHELANYANE ALPHEUS MOKOENA VS MINISTER OF POLICE	2021/11878	kumalot@mweb.co.za	V
40.	KAKOLIRIS: ANTONIOS VS KAKOLIRIS: TANYA (BORN BEZUIDENHOUT)	2021/46581	keegan@bbmlaw.co.za	O
41.	ITHEMBA PROPERTY MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD (REGISTRATION NUMBER: 2008/026791/07) VS SEMA, MALESELA LAWRENCE AND ANOTHER	2021/44825	celiwe@vmw-inc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.