OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 08th SEPTEMBER 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: FRIEDMAN AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: Ratsatseng@judiciaryorg.za**

PLEASE NOTE THAT FRIEDMAN AJ DIRECTS AS FOLLOWS:

1 In each matter, regardless of whether such documents have been uploaded on case lines or Court Online, a compliant practice note and draft order, in Word format, must be emailed to RTsatseng@judiciary.org.za; and friedman@law.co.za by no later than one full court day before the allocated date of the hearing.

2 The subject of the covering email must contain only (1) the date of the hearing and (2) the matter's number on the roll, e.g. "6 September 2022 – matter 7".

3 The practice note must include the names, email addresses and mobile numbers of the applicant's and any respondent's legal representatives or, if unrepresented, of the parties themselves.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

4 If the draft order seeks any relief that differs, in any way or to any extent, from the relief sought in a notice or pleading served on any respondent and/or in a draft order uploaded on case lines or Court Online, each difference must be specifically identified and explained in the practice note.

5 Despite what is said in paragraphs 1 to 4 above, counsel are required to hand up draft orders in duplicate, in proper form, when moving their application/s.

6 In terms of a recent directive of the Deputy Judge President, all matters will be heard in open court.

7 Court will commence promptly at 10h00 with postponements, removals and settlements being called first.

7.1 In any matter where there is to be an argument on postponement or costs this is to be mentioned at this time and these matters will stand to the end of the roll.

7.2 Matters will then be called in order of seniority.

8 Where counsel appears in an unopposed motion court, before a more senior judge, counsel is required to advise the judge's secretary by email no later than 9:00 am on the day of the matter.

9 It will not be necessary for counsel to attend at the Judge's chambers to introduce themselves.

10 In each matter, the applicant's legal representatives are forthwith to check that a complete file of all relevant papers has been duly uploaded on case lines or Court Online. If the papers are incomplete or irregular in any respect, the matter is to be removed from the roll or the procedure set out in paragraph 11 below is immediately to be followed.

11 All files are frozen on case lines and Court Online. Save as directed in paragraphs 1 to 4 above, should a party wish to file any further document before or after the hearing, including any amended draft order, it is to seek the permission of Friedman AJ, via his registrar (Tsatseng Rantsho), on good cause shown, including an explanation for the delay. Should such permission be granted, the registrar will unfreeze the file for only so long as is necessary to enable the document to be uploaded on case lines or Court Online. Absent such permission, no party may make any attempt to file any further document.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

1.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS SIBUSISO NICHOLUS BUTHELEZI	2022/17819	tmbata@straussdaly.co.za	D
2.	THANDIWE MAVIS MDLETSHE VS ZANELE NKOSI AND OTHERS	2022/19759	advocatemafu@mawandla.org	EU
3.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MAKGOE; T.Z	2021/ 30619	david@bvz.co.za	O
4.	SELINA MAHARAJH VS STUART NABZO AND 1 OTHER	2021/48021	jacques@bhikacalitz.co.za	O
5.	CHANGING TIDES 17 (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED N.O VS NTIM-FREMPONG ACHIBOLD EMMA	2020/25735	veronique@moodierobertson.co.za	D
6.	GROBANK LTD VS STUART, BJ & KL	2021/23258	sonica@kgt.co.za	GB
7.	STEWARTS & LLOYDS HOLDINGS (PTY) LIMITED V SJR ENGINEERING (PTY) LIMITED	2022/12591		O
8.	ILLOVO PARADISO FOUR CC vs G E CARRARA PROPERTIES CC	2019 / 27602	phillip.marp@law.co.za	I
9.	ALWYN JOHANNES SMITH vs ZENZO KHUMALO AND ALL THE UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS& ANOTHER	2021/47400	tafara@mukwaniattorneys.co.za	O
10.	BYRAY HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD vs WENDY MATLALA & 9 OTHERS	2022/21241	elvis@kginc.co.za	O
11.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED TRADING INTER ALIA AS FIRST NATIONAL BANK vs ELSJE OOSTHUIZEN AND 9 OTHERS	2022/16205	katlego@jmsainc.com	O
12.	NEW TECH MINING (PTY) LTD VS DONGASTRO EMPERIO (PTY) LTD	2021/20219	greyling@greylingorchard.co.za	O
13.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED T/A FIRST NATIONAL BANK vs MOSHIBUDI CONSTRUCTION AND PROJECTS CC and MORENA, HERBERT M	2021/49498	larisa@shplaw.co.za	D
14.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs SPHERE SHARED SERVICES PROPRIETARY LIMITED AND OTHERS	2022/24231	ckekana@ensafrica.com	O
15.	JOSEPH PEPRAH VS THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS & OTHER	2022/4343	maladzhiandsibuyiatt@gmail.com	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

16.	BSA BANK LIMITED v LESIAMO	2022/4715	rowena@lowndes.co.za	D
17.	ROADLAB LABORATORIES (PTY) LTD vs BOITSHOKO ROAD SURFACING AND CIVIL WORKS (PTY) LTD AND NNANA PHILLIP MAKUME	2022/20958	cherney.spangenberg@coetzeeatt.co.za	D
18.	CAROLINE MMAKGOKOLO LEDWABA N.O AND 2 OTHERS vs MISHACK SIBIYA AND 1 OTHER	2022/21020	relebogile@mssattorneys.co.za	O
19.	NEDBANK LITD VS FRANCIS; C	2022/2760	nadined@bvz.co.za	S
20.	MUTAVHATSINDI VS KUBHEKA MALEHLOHONOLO FORTUNATE & 3 OTHERS	2022/12970	matjekeij@mohala-moifo.co.za	O
21.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs GOVENDER J	2021/51652	wessel@endvdm.co.za	T
22.	WESBANK , A DIVISION OF FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS X J CONSTRUCTION CC AND OTHERS	2021/22557	rdlangamandla@rossouws.co.za	O
23.	NEDBANK VS SIBIYA & MOKOKA	2022/15516	luannew@hammondpole.co.za	O
24.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS VENANCIO D	2021/16648	anjap@hammondpole.co.za	O
25.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY VS MABELANE POTELA PETER	2022/23163	puledi@wakaba.co.za	O
26.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY VS TSHIBVUMO TAKALANI LORDA AND TSHIBVUMO MPHENG ANNE	2022/23170	puledi@wakaba.co.za	O
27.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MOTSAMAI SEKOALANE	3376/2022	athienkosi@timdutoit.co.za	O
28.	VBS MUTUAL BANK VS NXUMALO CTV	2017/38325	tmosoeu@werksmans.com	D
29.	CLAUDIA MARTINY ZUZARTE DAVEL	2022/20002	verushca@bkds.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

30.	RESICHEM (PTY) LTD VS. POLYWHIZ TRADING (PTY) LTD	2022-058	jason@mrr.co.za	T
31.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 02 (PTY) LTD Vs CHAUKE; XOLANE	50603/2021	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
32.	IKETSETSE ENTERPRISE NETWORK NPO VS STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED & 3 OTHER	2020/11016	dyonases@mtilaw.co.za	RN
33.	NEDBANK LTD VS MATHEBULA T M	2022/25143	monica@drsm.co.za	O
34.	MALINGA NOMACALA TRYPHINA AND THREE OTHERS VS ARCHIBALD VICTOR SICELO GUMBI	9110/2022	info@mahlokwaneattorneys.co.za	D
35.	IPS INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD VS MAPOSHODI NHLAPO & 1 OTHER	2022/17512	celiwe@vmw-inc.co.za	O
36.	MODIKOANE, FIKILE OBO MODIKOANE, REABETSWE VS THE MEC FOR HEALTH GAUTENG & 1 OTHER	2022/25544	enver@enverswartz.co.za	GB
	COURT ONLINE CASES			
37.	WAYGARD SECURITY SA (PTY) LIMITED VS. AZAGNEISS (PTY) LIMITED TRADING AS CAROCODE QUARRIES #	2022-005715		
38.	SS XANADU V. PHIRI BENTRY HASTINGS #	2022-005793		
39.	SS NORTHGATE HEIGHTS VS. MOODLEY KUBEN	2022-005800		
40	WAYGARD SECURITY SA (PTY) LIMITED VS. DOMASAT (PTY) LIMITED TRADING AS TRUCK & PLANT CONNECTION #	2022-005714		
41.	SS GREEN GABLES T/A MONTAQUE GARDENS BODY CORPORATE VS. VUSIMUZI MOYO #	2022-005798		

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.