OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 2nd FEBRUARY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: WINDELL J **JUGDES' SECRETARY**: ADuToit@judiciary.org.za

NOTICE

Motion Applications Office Telephone Number's Update

Kindly note and be advised the telephone contact numbers for the Motion Application's Section as stated in Paragraph 241.2.1 of the Revised Directive is updated (for technical reasons) as follows;

1. Unopposed Motions – Old 011 335 0419

   New 010 494 8364

2. Opposed Motions – Old 011 335 0332

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

New 010 494 8376

The old unopposed number appear to have finally succumbed to the point of irretrievable technical breakage point, although the opposed number still fights to remain a live line.

Kindly be reminded as well of the DJP's notice, issued on the 29th of November 2021in relation to the re-opening of the Unopposed Rolls for immediate allocation of dates in Term 1 of 2022.

1.	SIFISO NHLANHLA DLAMINI VS MINISTER OF POLICE	2020/ 3838	admin@jjgeldenhuysattorneys.co.za	O
2.	SHACKLETON CREDIT MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD VERSUS NORMAN MOETI MPEREKE MPURU	2021/43872	markp@lmb.co.za	PS
3.	LIQUID VEHICLE FINANCE, A PRODUCT OF WESBANK, A DIVISION OF FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MATELA IVES LEBA	2020/29619	desireeg@rossouws.co.za	S
4.	HAYES, CATHERINE ALICE N.O. AND LITERATIM LEGAL SERVICES (PTY) LTD N.O. VS ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	2021/49565	cvdleeuw@macrobert.co.za	O
5.	HAYES, CATHERINE ALICE N.O. AND LITERATIM LEGAL SERVICES (PTY) LTD N.O. VS ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	2021/49566	cvdleeuw@macrobert.co.za	O
6.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS NATESHA LUIS	2021/42029	lojen@umslaw.com	D
7.	EX PARTE APPLICATION OF LULEKA LUYELEYELE	2021/49008	federica@cnslaw.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

8.	CGIS REFRIGERATION GROUP PTY LTD VS STEPHANUS GERHARDUS FERREIRA	2021/25408	gbloch@fluxmans.com	O
9.	NEDBANK VS PRETORIUS,C	2021/37700	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O
10.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS MKHWEBANE E	2021/19164	lebohangm@hammondpole.co.za	O
11.	KAPP: STEVEN CRAIG VS KAPP: VLATHACHIA BIANCA	2021/27731	bennie@wkattorneys.co.za	C
12.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS KG MUKADI	2021/41566	lebohangm@hammondpole.co.za	O
13.	NEDBANK VS DEMAS AL	2021/2183	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	O
14.	NEDBANK VS SATSHA M	2020/43181	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	O
15.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS RADEBE: NGONI AMOS	2021/42425	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	D
16.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF) PROPRIETARY LIMITED VS PORTIA BUSISIWE DLADLA	2020/18986	annalien@findlay.co.za	D
17.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS BOQWANA: KHULILE SYMPATHY & OTHER	2021/15878	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	D
18.	ALUVIEW (PTY) LTD V COLLEEN MASHAWANA	2021/27495	info@nmaa.co.za	O
19.	VOLTEX (PTY) LTD T/A LIGHTING STRUCTURES AND ATLAS GROUP (REGISTRATION NUMBER : 1964/006740/07) VS TRUSTEES FOR THE TIME BEING OF THE MOSELANE FAMILY TRUST (IT NUMBER : 111/2014) / & THREE OTHERS	2021/39143	stan@orelowitz.co.za	FS
20.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MTIMKULU TM	2021/46295	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

21.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MATHENJWA LM	2021/45754	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O
22.	NEDBANK LTD VS DORMAN CL & MINNIE ACV	2021/45755	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O
23.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS CHRISTO WOUTER DE KLERK	2021/48815	candice@jmsainc.com	O
24.	STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS TEBOGO PETER MAHLAHLANE & 1 OTHER	2021/46590	service@tintolaw.co.za	O
25.	NEDBANK LTD VS ANO,B	2021/46296	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O
26.	TRANSACTION CAPITAL BUSINESS SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD VS DOLPHIN METAL CONNECTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES CC AND ETIENNE STEENKAMP	2020/18081	geehan@umslaw.com	S
27.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS CYNTHIA THOKO TWALO N. O.	2021/46206	geehan@umslaw.com	O
28.	PRAXLEY CONSORTIUM 3 (PTY) LIMITED VS STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED	2021/39135	cowan@chmlegal.co.za	O
29.	PIET NTLOLA LEGOABE VS BASHAYE CAROL LEGODI	2019/ 14367	ngwakomokhabukhi@gmail.com	O
30.	NAZEER GHIRODEEN DAVIS VS THE MINISTER OF POLICE	2021/9528	admin@jjgeldenhuysattorneys.co.za	O
31.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS MPETSANA DZ	2020/728	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O
32.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 06 (PTY) LTD VS MATHUNSI; SIFISO, MONDAY	2021/46872	adriaan@odbb.co.za	T
33.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG VS MWEPU DANIEL NKULU & ANOTHER	2021/9107	eystine@derrocks.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

34.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG VS EVEREST ONONUJU CHUKWUEZI	2021/7503	eystine@derrocks.co.za	O
35.	TEXMEX 27 (RF) (PTY) LTD VS SETWABA: THABANG MARCUS	2021/9961	natasha@hjw.co.za	D

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.