OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 2nd FEBRUARY 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: MAZIBUKO AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: SBunting@judiciary.org.za**

NOTICE

Motion Applications Office Telephone Number's Update

Kindly note and be advised the telephone contact numbers for the Motion Application's Section as stated in Paragraph 241.2.1 of the Revised Directive is updated (for technical reasons) as follows;

1. Unopposed Motions – Old 011 335 0419

   New 010 494 8364

2. Opposed Motions – Old 011 335 0332

   New 010 494 8376

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

The old unopposed number appear to have finally succumbed to the point of irretrievable technical breakage point, although the opposed number still fights to remain a live line.

Kindly be reminded as well of the DJP's notice, issued on the 29th of November 2021in relation to the re-opening of the Unopposed Rolls for immediate allocation of dates in Term 1 of 2022.

1.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA VS HARVEY WILSON ROBERTS AND CLIVE MARTIN HARDWICK AND JOANNA SIAN DAVIES	2019/24918	barbaras@vhlaw.co.za	O
2.	CERAMIC INDUSTRIES (PTY) LTD & OTHER VS SABA LEKELETSANE & 4 OTHERS	2021/25804	mandy@findlay.co.za	O
3.	DU PLESSIS, GC V VAN DER WESTHUIZEN, F	2021/25204	luyanda@weavind.co.za	O
4.	THE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES PENSION FUND VS KINGDOM BUSINESS NETWORK NPC / DUDUZILE LORRAINE TSOBANE / FORTUNATE RAMASHIDZA	2021/10735	sam@tzattorneys.co.za	O
5.	EX PARTE AMY ELIZABETH SPIES	2021/43461	cameron@ahlaw.co.za	O
6.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (RF) (PTY) LTD VS MOHLAMONYANE SOPHIE HLUPHI	2021/30079	efiling@mlbester.com	O
7.	SA TAXI DEVELOPMENT FINANCE (PTY) LTD VS BALOYI, MIYELANI PETER	2021/33906	efiling@mlbester.com	O
8.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY VS MSUBO THULISILE WITNESS	2020/34420	sam@tzattorneys.co.za	O
9.	CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY VS PETTY CASH LOANS	2021/8817	sam@tzattorneys.co.za	I

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

10.	COMMERCIAL CATEGORY (PROVISIONAL SENTENCE SUMMONS): SWARTZ R B VS GOODMAN H	2021/43892	megan@swvginc.co.za	O
11.	COMMERCIAL EVICTION: - DU PLESSIS, GC V VAN DER WESTHUIZEN, F	2021/25204	johan@swanepoelvanzyl.co.za	O
12.	THE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES PENSION FUND VS KINGDOM BUSINESS NETWORK NPC / DUDUZILE LORRAINE TSOBANE / FORTUNATE RAMASHIDZA	2021/10735	alaurent@gminc.co.za	O
13.	SA TAXI IMPACT FUND (RF) (PTY) LTD VS MOTSHANA, RODNEY TSHEPO	2021/21081	efiling@mlbester.com	O
14.	EXPARTE APPLICATION CURATOR AD LITEM KEBADIRANG ENERT GOSHUPELWANG OBO MINOR	2021/37314	relebomokgoro@gmail.com	O
15.	EXPARTE APPLICATION CURATOR AD LITEM NXUMALO LIHLE OBO MINORS	2021/37315	relebomokgoro@gmail.com	O
16	GROWTHPOINT PROPERTIES LTD / ALKARA 93 (PTY) LTD T/A HAAGEN DAZS	2021/39102	reaan@uitweb.co.za	O
17.	KURAUONE SHONIWA DENNIS MHANGAMI VS VARAIDZO MHANGAMI	2021/18802	tendayi@mudendainc.co.za	O
18.	NOM: ABDUL MAJID IBRAIMO VS DAVID WALLACE ZIETSMAN	2016/32671	dasoolaw@netactive.co.za	O
19.	ALF'S TIPPERS CC VSV M3K USED CAR SALES (PTY) LTD T/A M3K FLEET	2021/21650	jaco@wminc.co.za	D
20.	BMW FINANCIAL SERVICES VS MABONA, HERMAN	2020/11244	1fayers@straussdaly.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

21.	ORCHARD PARTY FUNCTION HIRE CC	2021/17402	raymond@eastesinc.co.za	O
22.	RAZA, HAMMAD VS CHAIRPERSON FIREARM APPEAL BOARD & ANOTHER	2021/44392	info@lam.co.za	O
23.	PHUMLANI MIYA PLAINTIFF VS THE MINISTER OF POLICE FIRST DEFENDANT//THE NATIONAL PROSECUTING AUTHORITY SECOND DEFENDANT	2021/14530	admin@nemakangaattorneys.co.za	O
24.	JACOBS: EDUARD DANIEL VS DE BEURGES: JOAN	2021/40842	vinashni@vmatt.co.za	PS
25.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED T/A WESBANK VS SCHOLTZ, ANNA	2021/43324	louise@smithlaw.co.za	D
26.	MODITI CONSULTING ENGINEERS (PTY)LTD VS A NKAMBULE AND ASSOCIATES CC	2020/03899	jaco@wminc.co.za	D
27.	NEDBANK LTD VS MADUBUNG K K M	2021/51828	monica@drsm.co.za	D
28.	MPACT OPERATIONS (PTY) LTD T/A MPACT PLASTICS WADEVILLE VS MABORE PHASOANE AND GENMED SERVICES (PTY) LTD	2020/43376	janita@efglaw.co.za	D
29.	THE BANKING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTH AFRICA NPC VS BEVERLEY MONICA REYNEKE & 2 OTHERS	2021/22994	rethabile.mochela@cdhlegal.com	O
30.	CREMONA, LUCILLE BERNADETTE MARY VS RANDWEST PROPERTIES CC	2021/ 24283	mdalton@bbmlaw.co.za	D
31.	CREMONA, LUCILLE BERNADETTE MARY VS RANDWEST PROPERTIES CC	2021/ 24283	phillip.marp@law.co.za	FL
32.	TRANSACT CAPITAL BUSINESS SOLUTIONS VS BUSI NTULI COMMUNICATIONS	2019/27105	wessel@endvdm.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

33.	HYPROP INVESTMENTS LTD T/A THE GLEN SHOPPING CENTRE & ELLERINE BROS (PTY) LTD T/A THE GLEN SHOPPING CENTRE VS LUSHLIFE HAIR AND BEAUTY SALON (PTY) LTD T/A LUSHLIFE HAIR AND BEAUTY SALON	2021/41432	hennie@britsmuller.co.za	O
34.	NEDBANK LIMITED VS JESSICA SANDERS	2019/30558	quinton@timdutoit.co.za	D
35.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 05 (PTY) LTD VS TUMANA; LUSANDA	2021/47674	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
36.	WUNCO INVESTMENTS (PTY) LIMITED VS ALIKA STACEY AND THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2021/51985	louise@hooklaw.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.