IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION JOHANNESBURG

URGENT COURT ALLOCATIONS ROLL	02 TO 06 NOVEMBER 2026
BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE(S)	**MS TEAMS LINK** https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/3660845886456210?p=cw4ljciZ9CewqgyqVY
GOODENOUGH AJ	KoRamokgola@judiciary.org.za.

Revised Directive: Urgent Applications Court before Goodenough AJ: 2 to 6 November 2026

1. I will preside in the Urgent Applications Court, by Microsoft Teams, from 2 to 6 November 2026.

2. This Directive applies to every urgent application allocated to me by the Senior Urgent Judge Maier Frawley, during and outside court hours. It binds the instructing attorney and, where briefed, counsel, for each party.

3. Any documents of whatever nature that were uploaded to Case Lines after 8pm on Thursday 29 October 2026:

3.1. must also be emailed to me and my secretary. It is not enough that I am simply notified via Case Lines. (It will be unworkable for me to keep on checking on Case Lines for further documents

3.2. will not be received into evidence unless an explanation is first given and accepted by me for the late uploading.

4. An unrepresented litigant must contact my secretary at koramokgola@judiciary.org.za before filing anything. My secretary will tell that litigant how to comply with this Directive.

5. This Directive supplements, and does not replace or relax, the Uniform Rules, Practice Directive of Judge Sutherland of 2021 regarding Urgent Applications, the Practice Directive 1/2024, the Practice Manual or any directive of the Judge President or the Deputy Judge President.

6. Every document required by this Directive of mine must be written in simple, terse language.

7. All communication with my chambers must be addressed to my secretary, Mrs Ramokgola, at koramokgola@judiciary.org.za and to me at dorisgoodenough@gmail.com.

8. There are to be no ex parte communications from attorneys or counsel unless the application is being brought ex parte.

9. Insofar as the Applicant does know the email address of the Respondent (even in an ex parte application, that email address must be emailed to me and my secretary)

10. Every email or document sent to me or my secretary must, at the same time, be sent to every other party's legal representative (or to the other party, if unrepresented).

11. Except where there is a valid reason for bringing an application ex parte, the applicant's attorney must, as early as possible contact the respondent and inform them that it has been allocated to me for hearing and must supply the respondent with my and my secretary's email addresses.

Applicant's detailed practice note and authorities:

12. The applicant's detailed practice note as described below must be served on the respondent at the earliest moment possible and should be emailed to my secretary and uploaded to Case Lines.

13. The practice note is additional to the compliance statement and practice note

that PD 1/2024 requires.

14. It must state:

14.1. the relief sought, in very simple terms;

14.2. when and how the respondent was alerted and served, or, if not yet served, when and how service will be effected, and whether the respondent knows of the application;

14.3. in 50 words or less, why the matter is so urgent that it must be heard in the week allocated;

14.4. a list of the elements of proof (facta probanda) of both (a) the procedural and (b) the substantive relief sought;

14.5. a terse list of the alleged facts, (with paragraph references to the founding affidavit), showing that facts supporting each element of proof is present;

and

14.6. for each legal submission, the leading authority: the section of legislation and/or the leading case. The case chosen must be the highest authority available: a judgment of the Constitutional Court or the Supreme Court of Appeal; failing that, a Full Court; failing that, a single judge.

15. Only one authority per submission is allowed unless there is a conflict in the authorities.

16. A copy of each section of legislation relied on and each full leading judgment relied on must be uploaded to CaseLines, in a separate folder headed "Authorities", at the same time as the practice note.

Respondent: opposition and the answering papers

17. Where the written answering affidavit has not yet been deposed to, the respondent must immediately and urgently send the applicant the as yet unsigned document so as to enable the meeting envisaged below to be effective.

Respondent's practice note and authorities

18. The respondent must upload its own detailed practice note to CaseLines at the same time as, or as soon as possible after, filing its answering affidavit.

19. It must state, tersely:

19.1. the order that the respondent says should be granted;

19.2. in 50 words or less, whether urgency is disputed and, if so, why;

19.3. whether, in the respondent's view, each element of proof is present on the facts alleged in the founding affidavit;

19.4. the factual allegations in the respondent's affidavit, with paragraph numbers, that detract from the applicant's case or preclude the relief being granted in application proceedings; and

19.5. for each legal submission, the leading authority (only one authority per legal submission).

20. The respondent must upload to CaseLines each section of legislation and each full leading judgment listed – only one authority (the leading authority) per submission.

Compulsory meeting of the legal representatives

21. As soon as the respondent has indicated an intention to oppose, even informally, then the applicant's legal representative (or the applicant if unrepresented), must

21.1. contact the respondent's legal representative (or the respondent if unrepresented), and

21.2. furnish Respondent with a copy of the applicant's practice note and

21.3. must propose a time for an urgent meeting to be held as soon as possible before the hearing.

22. The legal representatives who will argue the matter must actually speak to each other, in person, by telephone or by video link, and must meet immediately after the as yet unsigned copy of the answering affidavit) is available. An exchange of emails or messages is not a meeting.

23. The meeting must be held at the earliest moment before the hearing.

24. At the meeting, the legal representatives must go through the founding and answering papers and any replying papers and must agree on the matters in referred to below.

Detailed Joint practice note

25. The applicant's and respondent's legal representatives must collaborate during their actual meeting to jointly complete their detailed joint practice note.

26. The detailed joint practice note must list, written out in full and not merely by reference to paragraph numbers in the affidavits:

26.1. all the common cause facts on the papers;

26.2. all factual concessions made at the meeting;

26.3. all factual disputes that remain, tersely stating each party's version on each separate factual dispute;

26.4. all legal disputes that remain, tersely stating each party's position;

26.5. whether urgency is agreed or disputed and

26.6. the issues for adjudication, both substantive and procedural.

27. The detailed joint practice note must be emailed to me and my secretary immediately and without any ex parte communication accompanying the email.

28. Both parties' legal representatives must be included in the email and in all emails sent to my secretary and myself.

29. The detailed joint practice note must simultaneously be uploaded to CaseLines as early as may be before the allotted time for the hearing, so that I can thoroughly read it before the hearing takes place.

30. If a replying or supplementary affidavit is filed thereafter and before the hearing, then the legal representatives must confer again, update the joint practice note, and upload it, with any further needed leading authorities, as soon as possible and before the hearing.

31. The legal representatives may use a reliable, privacy-controlled specialist legal AI service, to help analyse the common cause facts and the factual disputes. They must check its output and they remain answerable for the documents they present.

32. Failure to comply with this Directive may be taken into account in deciding any question of costs.

33. Any practitioner who cannot comply with this Directive because of the urgency of the matter, or for any other good reason, must immediately say so in writing, giving cogent reasons, to my secretary, copying every other party, and give the reasons**.**

GOODENOUGH AJ

MATTER NO.	CASE NUMBER	NAMES OF THE PARTIES	DATE AND TIME ALLOCATED
16.	2026-257467	MAZARALI KAMUMIYA SAIYED // SANTAM LTD	
20.	2026-262013	NEW MARKET DEVELOPMENTS (PTY) LTD // BOIKANYO MINING MACHINES (PTY) LTD	
38.	2026-270821	MALOSE ELIAS BAMBO // RAND MUTUAL ASSUSRANCE COMPANY LIMITED	
39.	2025-218874	SIKHULULE THEMBA HLUMELO VAKALISA // XOLANI MATEKA	
12.	2026-258624	LESIBA MORGAN GOLOLO // KARABO MODIBA	
13.	2026-269835	LICHTENSTEIN SELWYN GEORG	
15.	2026-226608	MAROTHI JOSIAS MASHASHANE // THE FOSCHINI GROUP LIMITED (TFG)/MARKHAM	
19.	2026-248361	NAZREEN BENJAMIN // FIRST NATIONAL BANK	
21.	2026-251413	NDOU ATTORNEYS INC // NAMEDI TSHEPO MPHAHLELE	
23.	2025-237700	PRADEEP RAMLAKHAN // ALCU PRODUCTS (PTY) LTD	
45.	2026-210172	DEGATA ABERA ERSIDO // THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS	
46.	2026-210187	DILAYEHU BEKELA KASHEMO // THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS	

47.	2026-213677	EMMANUEL NWOKEDI // THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS	
51.	2020/4832	MPHUMELELI ROBERT GUMBI v NEDBANK LIMITED AND ANOTHER	02/11/2026