

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

DIRECTIVE

1. Documents must be uploaded in all matters to the *CaseLines* digital platform. A matter which is noncompliant with this requirement shall not be entertained. Only matters that have been uploaded on *CaseLines* shall be heard. All non-compliant matters shall automatically be removed from the roll.

2. As envisaged by par 8 of the Consolidated Directive, Re Court Operations during the extended Covid-19 Lockdown, the applicant shall submit a practice note. The practice note must, in addition to the information required in terms of the practice manual, entail the following particulars: the names, email addresses and cell numbers of the legal practitioners appearing.

3. A draft order shall be uploaded on CaseLines and the **draft format in word (including Counsel's email address and cell number)**

4. Hearings will be conducted on the Microsoft Teams platform, via a link to be provided by the Judge's secretary (Ms. Molebogeng Raseroka) (MRaseroka@judiciary.org.za) 07679977676

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Microsoft Teams meeting
Join on your computer or mobile app
Click here to join the meeting<https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_NzQ3MzYzM2YtODhmZC00NmFmLTliNzEtNTVlNWY2MTAzZDFl%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22c83e2aea-897a-4fe9-ba0c-12e02388f238%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%2236967268-0ed5-4a0f-904d-b50809682b18%22%7d>
Learn More<https://aka.ms/JoinTeamsMeeting> | Meeting

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 01ST SEPTEMBER 2021.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: BALOYI-MERE AJ

1.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs PICKERING BM	2021/21860
2.	MILDRED ZINHLE NGOMANE vs MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS	2021/26420
3.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs SAMNANI SGW & 1 OTHER	2021/15881
4.	GAUTENG PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT: DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, GADIFELE PATIENCE HONOKO vs MANKGATLENG JOHANNES RAMMALA	2021/7010
5.	GAUTENG PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT: DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, MZINGEZWI NDLALIFA JALI vs JABULANI DALTON ZONDO, MEISIE EMILY MGCINA, REGISTRAR OF DEEDS PRETORIA	2021/7006
6.	LDM CONSULTING (PTY) LTD vs LUCIEN PIERCE	2020/3950

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

7.	SA RETAIL PROPERTIES (PTY) LIMITED vs NOVA FOODS (PTY) LIMITED T/A MEAL STREET	2021/22492
8.	GREGORY FRANCIS WARD vs BRENDA WARD BORN VAN DER WESTHUIZEN	2020/26213
9.	WILKINS W vs THINANE & DU DOIT INC & 3 OTHERS	20/43390
10.	VORSTER, MARIA LOUISA	2018/40392
11.	JURI RONALD FELSTEAD AND ANNELIEN FELSTEAD	2021/19983
12.	AMADAZI INVESTMENTS CC vs WINNERS ACADEMY CC	2020/37079
13.	TUMELO MOLOI vs NATIONAL ARTS COUNCIL AND OTHERS	2021/31830
14.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs CORAL TEBOGO NGOYE	2021/16399

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

15.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs SARAH-LEE WAGENAAR & BRENDA WAGENAAR	2021/17061
16.	CHANGING TIDES 17 (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED N.O. vs TLHAPANE, MPHO WILLIAM & TLHAPANE, NOLUTHANDO	2020/36506
17.	CHANGING TIDES 17 (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED N.O. vs MABELANE, LEBOGANG ANTHONY	2017/21947
18.	OPC PROPERTIES (PTY) LTD vs MATSHOBA, MERCY	2021/11691
19.	120 END STREET PROPERTY INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD vs JIYANE, MESHECK AND 39 OTHERS	2021/8294
20.	CERAMIC INDUSTRIES (PTY) LTD & OTHER VS SABA LEKELETSANE & 4 OTHERS-	2021/25804

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.