OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED MOTION ROLL FOR 01 MARCH 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: STRYDOM J **JUGDES' SECRETARY**: Emanuel@judiciary.org.za

1.	ORICOL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES vs PRETORIUS P E	2019/22116	cheri@hutcheon.co.za	V
2.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs MODISE, GABONEWE HENDRICK	2021/10051	charl@charlcilliers.co.za	D
3.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs NETSHIFHEFHE, MPHO GIFT AND MAKOKO, XOLISO PRIDE	2021/43587	charl@charlcilliers.co.za	D
4.	SASFIN BANK LIMITED vs KWAKWA; MATSOBANE, LESEGO, JOHANNES	2021/48270	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D
5.	SETHABA-THABA LAND INVESTMENT CC	2021/51929	allenatt7@gmail.com	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

6.	THEMBINKOSI SEDNEY NKOZI vs THE DEPUTY INFORMATION OFFICER MONDEOR POLICE STATION	2021/38768	masibulelenjuze@gmail.com	O
7.	MOHAPI ELVIS vs THE MINISTER OF POLICE	2015/32068	adv.anesmit@gmail.com	O
8.	CRONJE D.C vs VILJOEN M	2021/46312	rudi@shplaw.co.za	PS
9.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs EXACT-TRADE 148 (PTY) LTD & BRUCE SWANEPOEL	2019/16189	lizke@umslaw.com	D
10.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs ITUMELENG GLORIA GWEBU	2019/2993	lizke@umslaw.com	D
11.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs CAKWEBE T	2021/22310	lebohangm@hammondpole.co.za	O
12.	ABSA HOME LOANS GUARATEE CO (RF) PTY LTD & 1 OTHER vs GREGORIA VAFIADES	2021/16641	nadia@timdutoit.co.za	D
13.	ABSA BANK vs RAMLALL, KHEMLALL AND RAMLALL, SALOMA BALKISSOR	2020/19243	nadia@timdutoit.co.za	D
14.	ABSA BANK LTD vs KARA WARREN	2021/40817	kimera@lowndes.co.za	S
15.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs MASENYA; K.P. & T.E.	2021/41443	david@bvz.co.za	AV
16.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs MATSHABA; L.P.	2021/48568	david@bvz.co.za	AV
17.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs SUMANA; L.A.	2021/48570	david@bvz.co.za	AV
18.	NEDANK LIMITED vs NAIDU; F.R.	2021/ 30536	david@bvz.co.za	D
19.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs ESTATE LATE LORD + 1	2021/42891	david@bvz.co.za	D
20.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs HARDIEN; M.F.	2021 / 53140	david@bvz.co.za	D
21.	BRIDGE TAXI FINANCE NO 06 (PTY) LTD vs LEITLHONYANE; TUMELO, FAITH	2021/38099	adriaan@odbb.co.za	D

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

22.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs MOTHEI: MALOSE WILLIAM & MOTHEI: MAFEDI BEATRICE	2021/46417	nadia@stupelberman.co.za	D
23.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs HERBERT MW & LL	2021/25437	lebohangm@hammondpole.co.za	O
24.	EDDIE SIMON THOMO / ZIPHORAH MTHOMBENI / SA HOME LOANS	2019/15869	bpndaba@bpndabainc.co.za	O
25.	NEDBANK LIMITED vs MOENG ZS	2021/53321	wessel@endvdm.co.za	D
26.	NEDBANK LTD vs MDOLOMBA B	2021/53134	wessel@endvdm.co.za	D
27.	VOLSCHENK; JOHANN vs VERMAAK; SUSAN JOAN, FIRST NATIONAL BANK & THE REGISTRAR OF DEEDS, JOHANNESBURG	2021/53122	oosthuizen.inc@iburst.co.za	O
28.	ABSA BANK LIMITED vs HONG PENG LAW & CHO KUEN CHAN	2021/20726	damion@timdutoit.co.za	S
29.	JAN VAN DEN BOS N.O. vs TINTSWALO GRACE MASHIBANE	2020/4633	hein@shplaw.co.za	O
30.	STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs MONIQUE VAN DER WESTHUIZEN	2019/28029	tmbata@straussdaly.co.za	D
31.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED vs WAYNE LE STRANGE FURLONG AND TASHA LYNN LUDLOW	2021/17707	tmbata@straussdaly.co.za	D
32.	ABSA BANK LTD v ODEDE	2021/29085	kimera@lowndes.co.za	O
33.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED vs MIKE SLIM & NIVINE SLIM AND ANOTHER	2021/44376	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O
34.	JOBURG PROPERTY COMPANY (PTY)(LTD) vs MMM MARKETING & OTHERS	2022/691	cebo@garnetinc.co.za	O
35.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SA LTD vs MASHIANGOAKO PRUDENCIA & 1 OTHER	2020/31975	amanda@timdutoit.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.